

**Value Institute** 



# Sensitivity of Physical Examination in **Blunt Pelvic Trauma:** What We Think We Know

Tajah Lewter, John Getchell R.N., Dr. Raymond Green D.O. Christiana Care Health System, Newark, DE





### **INTRODUCTION**

- Pelvic fractures account for 3% of skeletal fractures with a 10-16% death rate
- Pelvic fractures that disrupt the pelvic ring are 2-4% of all pelvic injuries with a 45% death rate
- Diagnosed by physical exam, X-ray, and CT scan
- Intervention includes pelvic binding, packing, and interventional radiology
- Treatment includes nonoperative/operative fixation
- Sensitivity is how well the method of detection is at discovering an injury

- Grotz MR, Allami MK, Harwood P, et al. Open pelvic fractures: Epidemiology, current concepts of nanagement and outcome. Injury. 2005;36(1):1–13.
- 2. Demetriades D, Karaiskakis M, Toutouzas K, et al. Pelvic fractures: Epidemiology and predictors of ssociated abdominal injuries and outcomes. J Am Coll Surg. 2002;195(1):1–10.
- 3. Dente CJ, Feliciano DV, Rozycki GS, et al. The outcome of open pelvic fractures in the modern era. Am J Surg. 2005;190(6):830–835
- Melton LJ, Sampson JM, Morrey BF, et al. Epidemiologic features of pelvic fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res.1981;(155):43-47.

### **HYPOTHESES**

- Sensitivity/Specificity of pelvic examination in trauma bay is adequate for screening pelvic trauma
- Sensitivity/Specificity of pelvic radiograph is similar to physical exam

#### **RESULTS**

Physical Exam				
		Tender/Unstabl	e Nontender/Stable	
Diagnosis		Positive	Negative	
	Fracture	247	134	
	Positive			
	No fracture			
	Negative			
n = 381		S	Sensitivity: 64.83%	

Physical Exam					
X-ray		Tender/Unsta Positive	able	Nontender/Stable Negative	
	Fracture Positive	179		65	
	No Fracture Negative				
n = 244			Sens	sitivity: 73.36%	

### **LIMITATIONS**

The data of the retrospective review could not assess false positive, true negative, specificity, and positive predictive value

### **CONCLUSIONS**

- 381 patients from the retrospective data were analyzed
- Physical exams are less sensitive than X-rays
- Continue the study Prospectively

# **CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS**

- Decrease time to definitive diagnosis
- Decrease time to therapeutic interventions
- Increase survival

# **METHODS**

- Retrospective study of database screened for pelvic injury from January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2015 to May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018 selecting 776 patients
- Microsoft Excel
- **PowerChart**
- accessANYware
- MedCalc

# **EXCLUSIONS**

- **Pediatrics**
- Penetrating traumas
- Transfers/self-transfers
- Isolated hip/ coccyx/ sacrococcyx fractures
- Pelvic binder placement
- Subacute fracture
- No trauma contact

# Crest of ilium -Sacroiliac joint — – Femoral neck crest - Greater trochanter Obturator foramen Lesser trochanter Femur

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- This project was supported by the Delaware INBRE program, with a grant from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences - NIGMS (P20 GM103446) from the National Institutes of Health and the state of Delaware
- This project was partially supported by Delaware State University

